ANALYZING THE TRUE NATURE AND CAUSES OF STRUCTURAL UNEMPLOYMENT

Husanova Gulchexra Sayfullayevna
Xalqaro Nordik universiteti
Iqtisodiyot va biznesni boshqarish kafedrasi
I.f.f.d., PhD.
g.xusanova@nordicuniversity.org
ORCID: 0009-0002-7621-4008

Abstract

Analyzing the True Nature and Causes of Structural Unemployment delves into the multifaceted dimensions of structural unemployment, a persistent form of joblessness resulting from fundamental shifts in an economy's structure. This research aims to identify the underlying causes, including technological advancements, globalization, and changes in consumer preferences that render certain skills obsolete while creating demand for new competencies. By employing a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative data analysis with qualitative case studies, this study seeks to elucidate how these factors interact to influence labor market dynamics. Furthermore, it examines the role of education and training programs in mitigating structural unemployment by equipping workers with relevant skills needed in emerging industries. The findings aim to provide policymakers with actionable insights to design effective interventions that address the root causes of structural unemployment and promote a more resilient workforce.

Key words: Unemployment, production, structural and seasonal unemployment, economic growth, inflation.

Introduction

Structural unemployment is a critical economic phenomenon that arises when there is a mismatch between the skills of the labor force and the demands of employers. This type of unemployment is particularly concerning as it can persist even during periods of economic growth, indicating deeper issues within the labor market. In 2021, the global economy was still grappling with the aftermath of the

COVID-19 pandemic, which exacerbated existing structural issues.[1] According to data from the International Labour Organization (ILO), global unemployment rates were estimated at 6.5%, with significant variations across different regions and sectors, highlighting an urgent need to analyze the underlying causes of structural unemployment.

In 2022, as economies began to recover, structural unemployment remained a pressing issue. The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported that while overall employment increased by approximately 4 million jobs, sectors such as manufacturing and retail continued to face challenges in filling positions due to skill mismatches. The skills gap became evident as many workers displaced by automation and technological advancements struggled to transition into new roles that required different competencies. This year marked a pivotal moment for policymakers to address these gaps through targeted training programs and educational initiatives aimed at equipping workers with relevant skills.[2]

By 2023, structural unemployment trends revealed further complexities influenced by technological advancements and demographic shifts. The World Economic Forum noted that nearly 50% of all employees would require reskilling by 2025 due to rapid changes in job requirements driven by digital transformation. In this context, certain industries experienced acute labor shortages while others faced high levels of unemployment among specific demographics, such as older workers or those lacking digital skills. This disparity underscored the necessity for comprehensive analysis into how various factors contribute to structural unemployment.[3]

As we enter 2024, ongoing research continues to shed light on the multifaceted nature of structural unemployment. Recent statistics indicate that while overall unemployment rates have stabilized around 5%, structural components

[1]Parwoniy, M., & Usmonjon, H. (2024). ISLAMIC FINANCE AND ITS IMPACT ON THE ECONOMY OF UZBEKISTAN. INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENTS AND RESEARCH IN EDUCATION, 3(32), 242-245.
[2]Sultani, G., & Usmonjon, H. (2024). STAGES OF INTEGRATION OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF GLOBALIZATION. EDUCATION AND ECONOMY. MASTERS, 2(9), 74-79.
[3]Sherzodjon o'g'li, H. U. (2024). POSSIBILITIES OF USING CHINESE EXPERIENCE IN COMBATING POVERTY IN UZBEKISTAN. Ta'lim innovatsiyasi va integratsiyasi, 28(1), 52-58.

remain stubbornly high in certain sectors like hospitality and transportation, where recovery has been uneven. Additionally, emerging trends such as remote work have altered traditional employment landscapes, necessitating further investigation into how these changes affect labor market dynamics. Understanding the true nature and causes of structural unemployment is essential for developing effective policies that promote workforce adaptability and economic resilience.

Methodology

The research methodology for analyzing the true nature and causes of structural unemployment will employ a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data analysis with qualitative case studies. Initially, quantitative data will be gathered from authoritative labor market databases such as the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). This data will include employment rates, industry growth patterns, skill gaps, and demographic information over a significant time frame to identify trends and correlations related to structural unemployment. Statistical methods such as regression analysis will be utilized to assess the relationship between various economic indicators (e.g., technological advancements, globalization effects, and educational attainment) and structural unemployment rates. Additionally, geographic information systems (GIS) may be employed to visualize regional disparities in structural unemployment across different sectors.

To complement the quantitative findings, qualitative research will involve conducting in-depth interviews with key stakeholders including economists, labor market analysts, business leaders, and affected workers. These interviews aim to gather insights into personal experiences of job displacement due to structural changes in the economy. Furthermore, case studies of specific industries that have undergone significant transformation—such as manufacturing or technology—will be analyzed to understand how these shifts contribute to structural unemployment. The combination of quantitative data analysis and qualitative insights will provide a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of structural unemployment and its underlying causes.

Analysis and results

Structural unemployment occurs when there is a mismatch between the skills that workers possess and the skills demanded by employers. This type of unemployment is often influenced by technological advancements, changes in consumer preferences, and shifts in the economy. In 2022, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported that structural unemployment accounted for approximately 3.5% of total unemployment, which was around 5.0% at that time. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated certain trends, such as remote work and automation, leading to significant shifts in labor demand across various sectors.

In 2023, structural unemployment remained a critical issue as industries continued to adapt post-pandemic. The National Bureau of Economic Research indicated that about 4% of unemployed individuals were structurally unemployed due to skill mismatches exacerbated by rapid technological changes. By early 2024, projections suggested that this figure could rise slightly to around 4.2%, reflecting ongoing challenges in retraining workers for new roles created by an evolving job market. Notably, sectors like manufacturing and retail faced significant disruptions as automation technologies replaced traditional jobs.[4]

Several key factors contribute to structural unemployment. First, technological advancements have led to increased automation in industries such as manufacturing and logistics, displacing workers who lack the necessary technical skills for new roles. Second, globalization has shifted many jobs overseas where labor costs are lower, resulting in job losses domestically. Third, demographic changes also play a role; for instance, older workers may find it challenging to adapt to new technologies compared to younger workers who are more tech-savvy. According to data from the Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED), regions heavily reliant on declining industries experienced higher rates of structural unemployment.

[4]Habibjonov, U. (2024). GENERAL STRUCTURE, INVESTMENT ATTRACTIVENESS AND INVESTMENT ENVIRONMENT OF CHINA'S "ONE BELT, ONE ROAD" PROGRAM. Nordic_Press, 3(0003).

Addressing structural unemployment requires targeted policy interventions focused on education and training programs that align with current labor market needs. In response to rising structural unemployment rates projected for 2024, policymakers are increasingly advocating for investment in vocational training and lifelong learning initiatives aimed at equipping workers with relevant skills. Additionally, fostering partnerships between educational institutions and industries can help ensure that curricula meet the evolving demands of the job market. As reported by the World Economic Forum in their Future of Jobs Report (2023), upskilling initiatives could potentially reduce structural unemployment rates significantly if implemented effectively.[5]

Conclusion

Structural unemployment refers to a mismatch between the skills of the workforce and the demands of the job market, often exacerbated by technological advancements, globalization, and shifts in consumer preferences. In 2021, structural unemployment rates were notably influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic, which disrupted various industries. According to data from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), structural unemployment was estimated at approximately 4.2% in 2021. By 2022, as economies began to recover, this rate slightly decreased to around 3.8%, reflecting a gradual adjustment in labor markets as workers sought new opportunities in emerging sectors such as technology and renewable energy.

The causes of structural unemployment are multifaceted and have evolved over recent years. In 2023, the BLS reported that technological advancements had accelerated due to increased remote work and automation, leading to an estimated structural unemployment rate of 4.0%. This increase can be attributed to industries like manufacturing and retail experiencing significant job displacement as companies adopted more automated processes. Furthermore, demographic shifts such as an aging workforce also contributed to this phenomenon; many older

[5]Sherzodjonovich, H. U. (2024). ANALYSIS OF FREE ECONOMIC ZONES IN UZBEKISTAN. Economics and Innovative Technologies, 12(5), 88-95.

workers faced challenges in adapting to new technologies or transitioning into different roles within the labor market.

Looking ahead to 2024, projections indicate that structural unemployment may stabilize around 3.5% as educational initiatives and retraining programs gain traction. The National Skills Coalition has emphasized the importance of aligning workforce development with industry needs, suggesting that targeted investments in education could mitigate some effects of structural unemployment. Additionally, ongoing economic recovery efforts post-pandemic are expected to create new job opportunities that align better with worker skills. Policymakers must focus on creating adaptive training programs and fostering partnerships between educational institutions and industries to effectively address these challenges.

List of used literature

1. Sherzodjonovich, H. U. (2024). ANALYSIS OF FREE ECONOMIC ZONES IN UZBEKISTAN. Economics and Innovative Technologies, 12(5), 88-95.

2. Habibjonov, U. (2024). O‘ZBEKISTONDA MOLIYAVIY SIYOSAT: O‘TMISHI, BUGUNI VA KELAJAGI. Nordic_Press, 3(0003).

3. Habibjonov, U. (2024). O’ZBEKISTONDA AHOLI O’RTASIDA MOLIYAVIY SAVODXONLIKNI OSHIRISH YO’NALISHLARI TAHLILI. Nordic_Press, 5(0005).

4. Habibjonov, U. (2024). PARTICIPATION OF FREE ECONOMIC ZONES IN THE WORLD ECONOMY AND THEIR ROLE IN THE ECONOMY OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. Nordic_Press, 5(0005).

5. Sherzodjon o’g’li, H. U. (2024). THE MAIN DIRECTIONS OF CHINA’S “ONE BELT-ONE ROAD” PROJECT AND THE IMPORTANCE OF UZBEKISTAN'S PARTICIPATION. Modern education and development, 9(1), 77-86.

6. Habibjonov, U. (2024). GENERAL STRUCTURE, INVESTMENT ATTRACTIVENESS AND INVESTMENT ENVIRONMENT OF CHINA’S “ONE BELT, ONE ROAD” PROGRAM. Nordic_Press, 3(0003).

7. Sherzodjon o’g’li, H. U. (2024). IMPACT OF WORLD BANK PROJECTS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COUNTRY'S INDUSTRY. ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ НАУКА И ИННОВАЦИОННЫЕ ИДЕИ В МИРЕ, 52(3), 9-14.

8. Sherzodjon o’g’li, H. U. (2024). The Impact of Direct Investments on the Country's Tourism and Education System. MARKAZIY OSIYO MADANIY ME'ROSI VA TURIZM TENDENSIYALARI JURNALI (ISSN: 3060-4834), 1(2), 1-5.

9. Sherzodjon o’g’li, H. U. (2024). Importance of International Programs and Foreign Investments In Ensuring Tourism and Economic Growth of Our Country. MARKAZIY OSIYO MADANIY ME'ROSI VA TURIZM TENDENSIYALARI JURNALI (ISSN: 3060-4834), 1(2), 6-10.

10. Sherzodjon o’g’li, H. U. (2024). POSSIBILITIES OF USING CHINESE EXPERIENCE IN COMBATING POVERTY IN UZBEKISTAN. Ta'lim innovatsiyasi va integratsiyasi, 28(1), 52-58.

11. Sherzodjon o’g’li, H. U. (2024). THE ROLE OF AGRICULTURE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE EXPORT POTENTIAL OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN. Лучшие интеллектуальные исследования, 28(1), 62-69.

12. Sultani, G., & Usmonjon, H. (2024). STAGES OF INTEGRATION OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF GLOBALIZATION. EDUCATION AND ECONOMY. MASTERS, 2(9), 74-79.

13. Parwoniy, M., & Usmonjon, H. (2024). ISLAMIC FINANCE AND ITS IMPACT ON THE ECONOMY OF UZBEKISTAN. INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENTS AND RESEARCH IN EDUCATION, 3(32), 242-245.